United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

OMB No. 0578-0030 NRCS-PDM-20

DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) Emergency Watershed Protection Program - Recovery

Section 1A		NRCS Entry Eligible:	YES 🔀 NO 🗆
Date of Report: 02/22/2006		Approved:	YES NO I
DSR Number: 103-05-140K Project Number: Lacombe Bay	E .	Limited Reso	ource Area: YES NO N
Sponsor Name: St. Tammany Parish Section 1B Spon	nsor Infor	mation	
Address: 21490 Koop Drive		***************************************	*
City/State/Zip: Mandeville, LA 70471 ~ DQ28			
Telephone Number: (985) 898-2552 Fax: (985) 898-530 5			
Section 1C Site Lo			
County: St. Tammany State: LA	Cong	ressional Dist	rict: 01
Latitude: see comments Longitude: see comments	Section:	18 T	ownship: 88 Range: 13E
UTM Coordinates: 15			
Drainage Name: Lacombe Bayou	_Reach:_lo	ower 27	620H
Damage Description: Debris is reducing the capacity of Channel. D	rainage is	severely imp	eded. Debris consists mostly trees.
Section 1D Si	te Evalua	tion	
All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for E	EWP assis	tance.	
Site Eligibility	YES	NO	Remarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	V		Hurricano Katrina - August 29, 2005
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*	V		
Threat to life and/or property?*	V		
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*	V		
Imminent threat was created by this event?**	✓		
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?**	V		
Site Defensibility	107 STATE	2 F. (1964) F. (1964)	
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	V		
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	4		
Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments program and its possible effects? YES VO NO Start:30.315625, 89.93915 end:30.35684, 89.9239 Comments:	of the aff	ected populati	ion have been informed of the EWP

^{*} Statutory

** Regulation

*** DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

1 of 14

Approve



DSR NO: 103-05-140K

Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A: Removal of storm debris from channel using amphibious trackhoe and barge.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$ 567,000.00

Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By:

State ZWP Program Manage

_ Date Reviewed:

Approved By:

State Conservationist

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (vocie and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

DSR NO: ______103-05-140K

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource	2B Existing	20	C Alternative Designat	tion
Concerns	Condition	Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
	en e	Debris removal with amphibious trackhoe and barge	Leave debris in stream	Debris removal with helicopter
		2	D Effects of Alternativ	es
Soil			Section 1997	
Bank erosion	less than 20 tons/acre	reduced to 5 tons/acre	20 tons/acre	reduced to 5 tons/acre
Soil quality	Soil quality reduced	Soil quality improved	Soil quality reduced	Soil quality improved
Water				
Downstream water rights				
DO	Low/potential problem	Improved DO	Low /potential problem	Improved DO
BOD	Raised BOD	Lower BOD	Raised BOD	Lower BOD
Petroleum products	attainment	Short-term increase during removal	attainment	Short-term increase during removal
SVAP	SVAP 6.6 fair	SVAP 6.8 fair	SVAP 6.6 fair	SVAP 6.8 fair
Air				
PM-10	attainment	attainment	attainment	attainment
Plant	_	I		
Aquatic health/vigor	Poor	Improved	Poor	Improved
Riparian plants	Excessive debris causing shading and long term damage	Increased sunlight will increase growth	Growth limited	Increased growth
Animal				
Fisheries access	Impaired due to blockage	Restore hydrologic flow regime	Access restricted.	Restore hydrologic flow regime
Forest habitat	Forest dynamic shift	No significant change	No significant change	No significant change
Other				
Aesthetics	Poor due to clutler	Improved	Poor due to clutter	Improved
Vector habitat	Mosquito habitat in floodplain	Improve flow will drain areas	Vector habitat will remain	Improve flow will drain areas
	:			

DSR NO: 103-05-140K

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

Existing Condition		Alternatives and Effects	
	Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
CWA permit will be required if work is to be done in channel.	CWA permit required	No permit required.	CWA permit required
Within CZMA	Consistency Authorization Needed	Water flow patterns aftered	Consistency Authorization Needed
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
(FOTG Sec II) none observed on-site State Level Review needed	(FOTG Sec II) none observed on-site State Level Review needed	(FOTG Sec II) none observed on-site	(FOTG Sec II) none observed on-site State Level Review needed
(FOTG Sec II) none observed on site; not listed on map	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
(FOTG Sec II) No EFH	(FOTG Sec II) No EFH	N/A	(FOTG Sec II) No EFH
State level review by project type with USFWS&LDWF No consultation required.	State level review by project type with USFWS&LDWF No consultation required	N/A	State level review by project type with USFWS&LDWF No consultation required.
Bayou Lacombe is located within 100-year flood plain. Debris in river is increasing flood potential.	100-year floodplain historic range restored.	100-year floodplain is increased in size due to debris.	100-year floodplain historic range restored.
Privet (Ligrustrum sp.)	No significant impact	No significant impact	No significant impact
Food & cover quality for dense canopy cover birds reduced	Food&cover quality improved	Food&cover quality impaired due to debris	Food&cover quality improved
(FOTG Sec II) None present	N/A	N/a	N/A
(FOTG Sec II) None present	N/A	N/A	N/A
Riparian habitat impacted by flooding due to debris accumulation	Riparian habitat improved by debris removal	Riparian habitat impacted by flooding due to debris accumulation	Riparian habitat improved by debris removal
(FOTG Sec II) None present	N/A	N/A	N/A
On an water containing data's	Dahris removed from anan		Balaia array 17
Open water containing debris. Emergent/wooded wetlands also littered with debris.	water and adjacent to stream. Wellands not adversely impacted.	Open water containing debris. Emergent/wooded wellands also littered with debris.	Debris removed from open water and adjacent to stream. Wetlands not adversely impact
(FOTG Sec II) (LDWF scenic streams list) Bayou Lacombe and its tributaries are listed	(FOTG Sec II) (LDWF scenic streams list) Listed	N/A	(FOTG Sec II) (LDWF scenic streams list) Listed
	CWA permit will be required if work is to be done in channel. Within CZMA N/A (FOTG Sec II) none observed on-site State Level Review needed (FOTG Sec II) none observed on site; not listed on map N/A (FOTG Sec II) No EFH State level review by project type with USFWS&LDWF No consultation required. Bayou Lacombe is located within 100-year flood plain. Debris in river is increasing flood potential. Privet (Ligrustrum sp.) Food & cover quality for dense canopy cover birds reduced (FOTG Sec II) None present (FOTG Sec II) None present Riparian habitat impacted by flooding due to debris accumulation (FOTG Sec II) None present Open water containing debris. Emergent/wooded wetlands also littered with debris. (FOTG Sec II) (LDWF scenic streams list) Bayou Lacombe and its	Proposed Action CWA permit will be required if work is to be done in channel. Within CZMA Consistency Authorization Needed N/A N/A (FOTG Sec II) none observed on-site State Level Review needed (FOTG Sec II) none observed on site; not listed on map N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A (FOTG Sec II) No EFH State level review by project type with USFWS&LDWF No consultation required. State level review by project type with USFWS&LDWF No consultation required. Bayou Lacombe is located within 100-year flood plain. Debris in river is increasing flood potential. Privet (Ligrustrum sp.) No significant impact Food & cover quality for dense canopy cover birds reduced (FOTG Sec II) None present N/A Riparian habitat impacted by flooding due to debris accumulation governed by debris removal Riparian habitat impacted by flooding due to debris accumulation governed by debris removal CPOTG Sec II) None present Open water containing debris. Emergent/wooded wetlands also littered with debris. (FOTG Sec II) (LDWF scenic streams list) Listed with general sits) Listed with general sits) Listed with debris increasing list) Listed with debris.	Proposed Action No Action CWA permit will be required if work is to be done in channel. Within CZMA Consistency Authorization Needed N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/

Completed By: Gregory Kidd #\ \pi \n\pi\o 6 Date: \ \ 02/22/2005

DSR NO:	103-05-140K

Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	
Properties Protected (Private)			
2 Homes @ 250,000	500,000.00	25	125,000.00
32 Homes @ 150,000	4,800,000.00	25	1,200,000.00
1 Home @ 75,000	75,000.00	25	18,750.00
1 Home 200,000	200,000.00	25	50,000.00
3 Home @ 40,000	360,000.00	25	90,000.00
Business Losses			
Properties Protected (Public):			
20 West bridge	200,000.00	25	50,000.00
190 Bridge	100,000.00	25	25,000.00
OTHER			
8 Powerlines @ 2,000	460,000:00 la jaco	25	4,000,00 40,006
	Total Near Term Da	mage Reduction \$	1,562,750.00
Net Benefit (Total Near	Ferm Damage Reduction minus Co		995,750.00

Completed By: HRH/LC Date: 02/22/2006	Completed By:	34 24/ 300 4	Date: OE/EE/EOOO
---------------------------------------	---------------	--------------	------------------

103-05-140K

Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?		~	Not in the immediate area but several deaths attributable to the storm occurred in the Parish
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?		director regions	
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?	~	Total Control of the	Yes - many downed trees blocking access
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)	NAMES OF THE PARTY		Impairment increased flooding impacts throughout the community
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?	\		
Completed By: HKH/LC		Date:	02/22/2006

DSR NO:	***************************************
	103-05-140K

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	
Asian Female Hispanic	
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	
Asian Male Hispanic	
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	20
Black or African American Male Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	20
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	
White Female Hispanic	
White Female Non-Hispanic	48
White Male Hispanic	
White Male Non-Hispanic	48
Total Group	136

Census tract(s)_	T040400 BG-2		
Completed By: _	LC/HKH	Date:	02/22/2006

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Required: Access permission (St. Tammany has 60' corridor access); CWA permit (CQE); Water Quality Certification (LDEQ); Wild and Scenic Stream Permit (LDWF); Consistency Authorization (LDNRCMD)

Eight State and/or Federal Species are listed in St. Tammany Parish however, there are no known T&E sites listed for the Bayou Lacombe on the 'Species of Conservation Concern' map. Potential bald eagle foraging habitat may exist in the lower reaches based on habitat observed on the site.

Mitigation Description:

Debris will be disposed with the use of an amphibious trackhoe and barge. Access/staging areas should be limited to the drier of the two banks to minimize impacts to adjacent riparian areas (wetlands) and to minimize detriment to fish habitat within the stream. Action will be completed without interruption.

Some existing, water-logged debris left in the channel is preferred/acceptable. Only dead/new debris is to be removed. No live trees within the stream bed should be cut.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers LDEQ St. Tammany Parish Government LDWF LDNRCMD

DSR NO:	103-05-140K

Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate

Completed By: Caroline Clarin	Date:	02/22/2006

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Proposed Recovery Measure (including mitigation)	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Amount (\$)
Proposed Action- Removal of storm debris from channel using amphibious trackhoe and barge.				0.00
Debris removal	27620	LF	20.00	552,400.00
Mobilization and Demobilization	1	LS	12,000.00	12,000.00
Seeding, Sprigging and Fertilization	13	AC	200.00	2,600.00
		And the state of t		0.00
About the Control of				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		0.00
		- Andrew Approximation and the second and the secon		0.00
		West and the second sec		0.00
				0.00
		The state of the s		0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
	Total In	stallation Cost (En	ter in Section 1F)\$	567,000.00

Unit Abbreviations:

AC	Acre	LS Lump Sum
CY	Cubic Yard	SF Square Feet
EA	Each	SY Square Yard
HR	Hour	TN Ton
LF	Linear Feet	Other (Specifiy)

DSR NO:	103-05-140K

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifer
1. Is this an exigency situation?			9	1 E
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?		N. J. Arres		
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?	Martine Control	undrager (
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?				
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?				
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?				
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?				
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?			е	
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

DSR NO:	103-05-140K

Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):	Finding:	Indicate the preferred	l alternative from	Section 2 (Enter 1	o Section 1E):
---	----------	------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	----------------

Remo	val of Storm	n debris from channel	with the use of an	amphibious	trackhoe an	id barge.			
		the effects of the action extraordinary circum							
	Ch Ch Ch Ch	s been sufficiently ana apter 5.2.2.1.2 apter apter apter apter apter	llyzed in the EWP	PEIS (refe	rence all that	apply)			
	The action	y require the preparati n will be referred to th	he NRCS State Of	fice on this	date:		impact stat	ement.	
NRCS Title: _	representati	ive of the DSR team Lon5.	H. Ber t	e:	124/04	6			
Section	n 5B Comn	nents:							
Section	1 5C		Spo	nsor Conc	urrence:				
Sponso	or Represei	ntative	//						
Title: _	Env.	Spc.	Date	: <u>3</u> .	1.06				
Section	A. Locati B. Site P								

- C. Other (explain)

TOPO MAP DSR 103-05-140K

Lacombe Bayou St. Tammany Parish

Estimated Total Reach Length 27,620 LF

